Canola stubble retention

The influence of canola stubble management on wheat production in the Woomargama area of southern NSW

Key messages:

- Retaining high stubble loads reduces the amount of nitrogen available to the next crop.
- Reduced available nitrogen in soil can be overcome by applying nitrogen fertiliser.
- Lower amounts of nitrogen fertiliser are needed to overcome immobilisation when stubble is left standing.
- Higher amounts of nitrogen fertiliser are needed to overcome immobilisation when over 5 t/ha of stubble is mulched or incorporated.
 - No more than 50 kg N/ha is needed to overcome the detrimental effects on grain yield of retaining heavy stubble loads.

Stubble management

Stubble is commonly mulched, grazed or burnt in windrows. Each management practice has its own advantages and disadvantages for whole-farm management. The way stubble is managed determines how much stubble is left in the paddock at the next sowing time and whether it is in contact with the soil.

Influence of stubble on the supply of nitrogen to the next wheat crop

The impact of stubble management on nitrogen (N) and hence grain yield is explored through crop modelling using soil data from a farm near Woomargama and 124 years of climate data from Holbrook as sourced from Bureau of Meteorology.

The short-term impact of retaining stubble on N is for less N to be available to the following crop due to N immobilisation. This occurs because the amount of available N is reduced as microorganisms break down the stubble and use the resulting mineral N for their growth and survival.

Nitrogen immobilised is more likely to occur when:

- there is more stubble,
- stubble has a high C : N ratio (ie wheat, barley),
- stubble is in contact with the soil,
- soil is at a temperature and water content suitable for soil micro-organisms.

Benefits of stubble

In the long-term, retaining stubble enhances soil structure, soil water holding capacity and reduces the risk of soil erosion (see 'Changing soil organic carbon' fact sheet by DEPI). In the short-term, retaining stubble can increase the risk of crop disease and may interfere with emergence of the next crop.

The least amount of immobilisation occurs when stubble is burnt thus burnt stubble is the benchmark for considering the effects of stubble management practices (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Nitrogen stress in unfertilised wheat (cv Gregory) after a canola crop which has been either burnt or incorporated (10 t/ha). 100% photosynthesis is maximum efficiency and indicates no N stress. Curves are the average photosynthesis efficiency simulated using APSIM Version 7.3 over 124 years (1889-2012) with climate data from Holbrook and soil data near Woomargama.





Department of Environment and Primary Industries



Immediate effects of canola stubble on N management in the next wheat

When stubble is retained at Woomargama, some N is immobilised and the crop is subjected to additional N stress (see incorporated stubble in Figure 1). The detrimental effect of the additional N stress on grain yield is overcome by applying N fertiliser.

The amount of N fertiliser needed to bring the grain yield up to the benchmark attained after a burnt stubble varies with each stubble management practice.

Near Woomargama, the benchmark grain yield without N fertiliser averaged 4.7 t/ha over 124 years of simulations.

Applying 25 kg N/ha to wheat in the Woomargama area mitigated the detrimental effects of stubble retention in the less intensive systems (Table 1A). Black text in the table shown where 25 kg N/ha produced grain yields equal or better than those obtained after burning stubble without N fertiliser.

Table 1A	Burnt	Standing	Incorporated	Mulched
Stubble load	wheat gra	in yield (t/ha)	with 25 kgN/ha af	fter canola
0 t/ha	5.4			
1 t/ha		5.4	5.2	5.2
3 t/ha		5.2	4.9	4.9
5 t/ha		5.1	4.7	4.4
7 t/ha		5.0	4.5	4.1
10 t/ha		4.9	4.2	4.0

Table 1. Median grain yields of mid-season wheat (cv Gregory) grown with 25 kg N/ha (1A) and 50 kg N/ha (1B) after canola when 0 -10 t/ha of stubble was retained using one of four management practices. Tabled grain yields are compared with the median grain yield attained without N on a burnt canola stubble (4.7 t/ha). Grain yields less than 4.7 t/ha are shown in red text. All grain yields are simulated using APSIM Version 7.3 over 124 years (1889 – 2012) with climate data from Holbrook and soil data near Woomargama.

Funded by Grains Research and Development Corporation and Department of Environment and Primary Industries Victoria

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Environment and Primary Industries Melbourne, October 2013

Authors: A. Clough, R. Harris, P. Riffkin, G. O'Leary

 \circledcirc The State of Victoria Department of Environment and Primary Industries Melbourne, 2013

This publication is copyright. No part may be reproduced by any process except in accordance with the provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*.

ISBN 978-1-74326-580-2 (Print) ISBN 978-1-74326-581-9 (pdf)

> GRDC Grains Research & Development Corporation



Up to 50 kg N/ha needs to be applied to mitigate the detrimental effects of stubble retention when higher stubble loads are retained using practices that result in stubble being in contact with the soil (Table 1B).

Table 1B	Burnt	Standing	Incorporated	Mulched
Stubble load	wheat gra	in yield (t/ha)	with 50 kgN/ha at	fter canola
0 t/ha	6.0			
1 t/ha		5.9	5.8	5.9
3 t/ha		5.9	5.7	5.6
5 t/ha		5.8	5.5	5.1
7 t/ha		5.7	5.3	4.8
10 t/ha		5.6	5.0	4.7

Although applying N fertiliser compensates for reductions in available N due to immobilisation, fertilised wheat grown immediately after a burnt stubble still attains higher average grain yields than wheat grown after the other stubble management practices. This is due to less N fertiliser being needed after stubble burning to compensate for N immobilisation and therefore more N fertiliser being available for additional plant growth and yield.

Seasonal variation

It must be noted that grain yields simulated for Woomargama varied markedly between seasons for the same stubble management strategies (eg range was 2.2 - 6.2 t/ha for burnt stubble without N). This seasonal variation meant grain yield was often not effected by stubble management practice even with heavy stubble loads.

Further Reading:

GRDC (2011) Stubble management fact sheet. pp8.

Accessibility

If you would like to receive this publication in an alternative format, please telephone DEPI Customer Service Centre 136 186, email <u>customer.service@dse.vic.gov.au</u>, via the National Relay Service on 133 677 www.relayservice.com.au

Disclaimer

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

Department of Environment and Primary Industries

