

# Changing soil organic carbon

## Strategies for cropped soils in the Holbrook area of southern NSW

### Key messages

- Understanding soil bulk density is essential for understanding how much carbon is in soil.
- Stubble retention is most beneficial where soil organic carbon stocks are low.
- Higher stubble loads allow stubble management to be flexible whilst maintaining soil organic carbon.

### Importance of soil organic carbon

Increasing the amount of organic matter in soil through retaining stubble is a means of improving long-term soil fertility and crop productivity (see 'Nitrogen management for wheat' fact sheet by DEPI). The organic carbon (OC) in organic matter helps bind soil together, reduces erosion and improves water retention. Most OC is in the topsoil of cropped paddocks.

In the short-term, retaining stubble can cause challenges in the following crop including reducing crop emergence and causing nitrogen in the soil to be immobilised thus increasing the need for nitrogen fertiliser (see 'Canola stubble retention' fact sheet by DEPI).

### Stubble management

Cereal stubble is commonly mulched, grazed, baled or burnt. Each management practice has its own advantages and disadvantages for whole-farm management. The choice to mulch, graze, bale or burn stubble affects how much carbon is retained in the soil. The impact of these practices are explored here using the Roth C model, soil data from a farm on Ravlona Lane and climate data from Holbrook as sourced from Bureau of Meteorology.

### How much organic carbon is in soil?

When soil tests come back from the lab organic carbon is presented as %OC. However, this is only half the story when it comes to knowing how much organic carbon is in a soil. To convert %OC into t/ha we need to know the bulk density of the soil; that is how much soil is present in a soil layer. Bulk density in cropped topsoils tends to be range from 1 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 1.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Whilst soil tests are usually conducted on the top 10 cm layer of soil and reported as a percentage, total amounts of carbon stock are reported as t/ha in the top 30 cm. A formula is applied to convert the amount of OC in the top 10 cm layer to that in the top 30 cm.

OC% in top 10 cm	OC stock in top 30cm
Bulk density = 1.3 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
0.5%	13 t/ha
1.0%	25 t/ha
1.5%	38 t/ha
2.0%	51 t/ha
2.5%	64 t/ha
3.0%	76 t/ha
3.5%	89 t/ha
4.0%	102 t/ha

Table 1: Conversion from % OC in the top 10 cm of soil to OC stock (t/ha) in the top 30 cm of soil at the bulk density measured on a farm on Ravlona Lane (1.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) Conversion factor sourced from Valzano et al 2005.

## Increasing soil organic carbon

Stocks of soil OC increase as more stubble is retained in the paddock. More stubble is retained by choosing a stubble management practice, such as mulching instead of burning. In addition, more stubble is retained by choosing cropping practices that produce more stubble, such as high yielding cereals instead of fallowing.

Thus the highest increase in soil OC occurs when all the stubble from high yielding cereals is retained in the paddock (Table 2).

This may not always be possible due to other issues such as using stubble for feed, lower yielding seasons, or burning stubble occasionally as a weed control strategy. Less OC accumulates under these conditions. However, modelling suggests that in the Holbrook area, soil OC stocks increase unless stubble loads are consistently under 3 t/ha or where a high proportion of the stubble is consistently removed (i.e. burnt or baled) from light initial stubble loads (Table 2).

Annual Stubble Load	3 t/ha	5 t/ha	7 t/ha
	tonnes soil OC/ha		
Burn stubble	-6	-2	3
Bale stubble	-5	1	6
Graze stubble	0	8	17
Mulch stubble	2	12	23

Table 2: Change in soil OC (t/ha) at the field site after using the same stubble management practice for 25 years with 3, 5 or 7 t stubble/ha produced every year. BD=1.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup> in the top 10 cm, initial OC = 43 t/ha in the top 30 cm.

## Further Reading:

GRDC (2011) Stubble management fact sheet. pp8.

Valzano F et al (2005). The impact of tillage on changes in carbon density with special emphasis on Australian conditions, Tech. Report No. 43. Dept Environment and Heritage. pp164.

Funded by Grains Research and Development Corporation and Department of Environment and Primary Industries Victoria

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Environment and Primary Industries Melbourne, October 2013

Authors: A. Clough, P. Riffkin, F. Robertson, G. O'Leary

© The State of Victoria Department of Environment and Primary Industries Melbourne, 2013

This publication is copyright. No part may be reproduced by any process except in accordance with the provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*.

ISBN 978-1-74326-552-9 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-74326-553-6 (pdf)

## Long-term stubble management

Increasing soil OC is a long-term goal. How long the goal takes to achieve depends on the starting level of soil OC, how much stubble is available and how stubble is managed. Consideration needs to be given to how the amount of stubble varies over the years with crop type and crop growth.

The figures below show that consistently retaining stubble by mulching builds soil OC in soils when there is less than 3% soil OC. Consistently removing stubble by burning depletes soil OC where there is more than 1.5% soil OC (Figure 1).




Figure 1: The long term effect of two stubble management practices (burning or mulching 5 t/ha of stubble every year) on soil organic carbon stocks (t SOC/ha) in the Holbrook area. Modelling starts with 5 different levels of soil OC%.

## Accessibility

If you would like to receive this publication in an alternative format, please telephone DEPI Customer Service Centre 136 186, email [customer.service@dse.vic.gov.au](mailto:customer.service@dse.vic.gov.au), via the National Relay Service on 133 677 [www.relayservice.com.au](http://www.relayservice.com.au)

## Disclaimer

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.